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Abstract

In recent years in our increasingly globalized world in many countries we have seen the rise of anti-immigrant feelings among the youth. This has resulted in both the social exclusion of immigrants and negative psychological outcomes which harm both the individual and hinder social integration within society. In this article we highlight how psychological research can play an important role in informing the design and conduct of educational interventions aimed at reducing prejudice towards immigrants. We review recent research showing anti-immigrant attitudes among the youth across the globe, and how these attitudes are related to parental and peer relationships. Research indicates that a color-blind approach to prejudice reduction among youth is not helpful and, in contrast, it suggests a more effective approach could be a multicultural approach to diversity, which celebrates both group differences and similarities while promoting social integration through quality contact between different social groups. Recent psychological research shows that this contact can take many forms, ranging from direct contact (i.e., cross-ethnic friendships), to extended contact (i.e., reading a book in which someone from your groups has a positive interaction with someone from another group) and even imagined contact (i.e., engaging in imagined play involving characters from different groups having positive relations). The findings of this research demonstrate that it is possible to challenge anti-immigrant attitudes when and where they develop in young people.
Attitudes towards immigrants among the youth: Interventions to reduce prejudice in the school context

Children and adolescents in Europe are growing up in an increasingly culturally diverse school context, with a higher level of immigration into and across Europe in the last twenty or so years. For example, between 1993 and 2015 the number of foreign-born immigrants in the United Kingdom (UK) almost doubled from 7% to 13.5% of the population (Rienzo & Vargas-Silva, 2017). At the same time, in the USA there has also been rapidly changing demographics, largely fueled by immigration. In 2015, a total of 1,051,031 immigrants became legal permanent residents of the United States, (U.S. Census Bureau, 2015). Governments until recent times have recognized the benefits of diversity brought by immigrants, but at the same time have consistently sought to restrict their numbers due to concerns about public opinion and social cohesion (Home Office, 2015).

Indeed in recent years, in many parts of Europe and the USA, an anti-immigration socio-political climate and controversial rhetoric around immigration has developed in the media and schools (Moore & Ramsay, 2017; Taylor, 2015). Research in the US has shown that while children are explicitly taught about their nation being founded upon immigration, in everyday settings are consistently exposed to anti-immigration sentiments (Brown, 2011). In spite of these conflicting messages, research suggests intergroup contact (i.e., interaction between social groups) may be beneficial for young people since it improves social relations, and reduces prejudice between individuals from different ethnic groups, including immigrants (e.g., Asendorpf & Motti-Stefanidi, 2017; Feddes, Noack, & Rutland, 2009; Bagci, Rutland Kumashiro, Smith, & Blumberg 2014). In this regard, research shows that reading in a book that
someone from your group has a friendship with someone from another group (i.e., extended contact) is enough to improve young people’s attitudes towards immigrants (see Cameron, Rutland, Brown & Douch, 2006). Such interventions have much promise but has research truly shown that such interventions – or indeed any school-based intervention - can change behavior towards immigrants? In this paper, we review evidence for interventions that purport to reduce prejudice and thereby promote the social inclusion of immigrant youth. We consider how far such interventions may be considered effective in this mission.

The development of attitudes to immigrants

In recent times we have seen significant increases in anti-immigrant attitudes in Europe (Ciupijus, 2011; Gniewosz & Noack, 2015; Motti-Stefanidi & Asendorpf, 2012). For example, this was evident when the National Police Chiefs’ Council (2016) in the UK recorded a 42% increase in racist hate crime in the month following the UK referendum vote to leave the European Union. Relatedly, in the U.K. the Youth Select Committee (2016) gave evidence that interracial prejudice is becoming normalized in schools, with a Ditch the Label report (2015) stating that 8% of their sample had had negative racial identity-based comments directed at them. Concurrently, research has found high levels social isolation amongst adolescent immigrants, with 1 in 5 reporting them feeling that they do not belong (Oxman-Martinez et al., 2012).

The psychological consequences of social exclusion are well-documented, and include deleterious effects on young people's academic performance, self-esteem, and prosocial behavior (Leary, 1990; Twenge & Baumeister, 2005). Other research suggests that adolescent immigrants may be particularly sensitive to anxiety and depression arising from intergroup prejudice (McKenney, Pepler, Craig, & Connolly, 2006; Strohmeier, Kärnä, & Salmivalli, 2011). Moreover, when negative discrimination is directed toward an individual's race or ethnicity, the
psychological impact on the target may be greater, as an immigrant identity is internal, stable, and uncontrollable (McKenney et al., 2006). Increasingly, social-developmental psychological theories have highlighted the role of social contexts, in particular parents, peers, and intergroup friendships (e.g., Pettigrew & Tropp, 2006) to explain the development of anti-immigrant attitudes that lead to incidents of intergroup discrimination.

Research on adults shows that they often hold negative attitudes toward immigrants and that they make a strong distinction between legal versus illegal immigrants holding considerably more negative views of the latter compared to the former (Lee & Fiske 2006; Short 2004). Yet we know little about the attitudes to children and adolescents toward immigrants and whether they distinguish between legal versus illegal immigrants. Recent research suggests that young people often do hold negative attitudes towards immigrant youth in schools settings (British Youth Council, 2016; Brown & Lee, 2015; Gniewosz & Noack, 2015). For example, Brown (2011) examined European American children's attitudes towards immigrants and immigration policy and found the majority of children were positive about legal immigration. This study also found that although they believed in allowing illegal immigrants to stay if employed, many younger children believed such immigrants should be imprisoned. Brown's research showed that many children were aware of anti-immigration rhetoric in the US, and often they themselves held negative attitudes about immigrants. Thus, by five years of age, children have picked up on anti-immigrant sentiments and reflect them in their attitudes.

Developmental research has shown that shown that important social-cognitive, normative and moral processes underlie the development of intergroup attitudes through childhood into adolescents (Killen & Rutland, 2011; Raabe & Beelmann, 2011; Rutland, Killen, & Abrams, 2010). In particular, research focusing on socialization of ethnic and racial prejudice has
indicated a significant, moderate parent–child concordance (for review, see Degner & Dalege, 2013). The strength of intergenerational transmission has been shown to be moderated by relationship quality, that is, the better the relation the stronger the parental influence (Miklikowska, 2016). Therefore, unsurprisingly, research suggests that young people’s attitudes towards immigrants are related to parental attitudes (Enesco, Navarro, Paradela, & Guerrero 2005; Gniewosz & Noack, 2015). For example, Gniewosz & Noack (2015) conducted a longitudinal five-wave cohort-sequential multi-informant survey study on attitudes towards immigrants among German adolescents and their parents. They found that attitudes among adolescents were predicted over time by maternal and parental attitudes, especially from early adolescence until the age of 16 years. This result suggests that early adolescence is a sensitive developmental period for the emergence of negative attitudes towards immigrants.

Turning then to peer influence, longitudinal studies (e.g., Van Zalk, Van Zalk, Kerr, & Stattin, 2013) have shown the effect of peers’ anti-immigrant attitudes on changes in adolescents’ prejudice over time, whilst Blanchard, Crandall, Brigham, and Vaugn (1994) and Sinclair, Lowery, Hardin, and Colangelo (2005) experimentally showed an effect of peers’ opinions on adolescents’ interracial attitudes. Nonetheless, the long-term relations between peer influence and youth attitudes at different stages of development are unclear. It has been suggested that the influence of parents might diminish in middle and late adolescence, compared to earlier periods, given that adolescents spend more time with their peers. Conversely, it has been suggested that the effects of peers might decrease between ages 14 and 18 years, when the resistance to peer influences increases (Steinberg & Monahan, 2007).

Recent longitudinal research in Sweden has shown significant effects of parents’ attitudes, peers’ attitudes, and intergroup friendships, on changes over time in anti-immigrant attitudes
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among adolescents (Miklikowska, 2017). This research also showed that adolescents with immigrant friends are less affected by parents’ and peers’ prejudice than youth without immigrant friends, and that this effect was mediated by adolescents’ empathy. This finding fits with the proposed link between development of empathy, peer relationships and intergroup attitudes, with empathy theorized to mediate the effects of intergroup friendships on adolescents’ intergroup attitudes (Pettigrew & Tropp, 2008). That is, intergroup friendships lead to increases in empathy, and in turn, to decreases in prejudice. In this regard, empathy may moderate the effects of humanitarian concern on immigrant policies (Newman, Hartman, Lown, & Feldman, 2013) and the effects of ingroup norms on outgroup liking (Nesdale, Griffiths, Durkin, & Maass, 2005). In line with this reasoning, the attitudes of highly empathic adolescents might be less affected by their parents or peers’ prejudice, or alternatively, heightened by their positive attitudes towards immigrants. Previous research conducted in the United Kingdom, also supporting the importance of empathy and intergroup friendships in reducing anti-immigrant biases, suggests that children are more likely to challenge intergroup bias towards immigrants if they have high levels of empathy (Abbott & Cameron, 2014).

Another factor that has been shown to bear upon intergroup attitudes are what adolescents and children think their peer groups expect them to say about those from other groups. These group norms have the potential to influence the attitudes youth hold towards immigrants. Developmental research shows that from middle childhood youth are highly sensitive to group norms about forming intragroup and intergroup relationships (Abrams, Rutland, & Cameron, 2003; Castelli, De Amicis, & Sherman, 2007; Nesdale, Maass, Durkin, & Griffiths, 2005; Rutland, Cameron, Milne, & McGeorge, 2005). Therefore children being told about a cross-ethnic friendship are more likely to interpret group members’ actions as
representative of the ingroup and outgroup peer norms and respond in a manner reflective of their prescribed ingroup norm. In this vein, Cameron, Rutland, Hossain, and Petley (2011) showed that among older children, attitudes towards ethnic outgroups are affected by ingroup norms surrounding inclusion. Future research should examine the role of ingroup and outgroup peer group norms in determining the development of anti-immigrant attitudes in youth.

**Educational interventions to reduce anti-immigrant attitudes**

Surprisingly, in spite of increasing research on intergroup attitudes in children and adolescents, interventions to reduce prejudice in childhood are not widespread and are rarely informed by developmental science (Killen, Rutland, & Martin, 2011). A recent review of over 900 studies (Paluck & Green, 2009) included only a few child-focused interventions, and these programs focused specifically on the use of reading materials for children and media-based intervention programs such as *Sesame Street* (Cole et al., 2003; Cole & Dollard, 2017). Moreover, the review did not address the developmental factors that contribute to prejudice. In contrast, an earlier report on prejudice reduction in school settings in the United States focused specifically on children and adolescents (Pfeifer, Brown, & Juvonen, 2007). The authors, who are developmental scientists, reviewed school-based curricula such as cooperative learning and multicultural curricula. These programs produced modest gains in positive attitudes and were supported by a number of empirical studies. The authors pointed out, however, that some of the evaluations of these programs overlooked the social context. The report demonstrated the ways that these programs helped to make desegregation a positive learning environment (Pfeifer, Spears Brown, et al., 2007).

The need to take such social factors into account is illustrated in one intervention commonly used in UK schools, and promoted by an organization called Philosophy4Children,
for tackling ethnic prejudice, based on a color-blind ideology (see Dovidio, Gaertner, & Saguy, 2015). This approach argues that everyone should be treated equally, and attempts at differential treatment by ethnicity should be disregarded and dismantled (see http://www.philosophy4children.co.uk/). However, if teachers use this strategy, are they implicitly telling children not to talk about ethnicity? In a recent study, Cameron, Brady, and Abbott (2013) tested a group of children using a version of the children’s game, ‘Guess Who’.

The game was contrived, so that asking about the ethnicity of your opponent’s character would enable winning more quickly than not asking about it. Yet, rarely would children ask this question – and they were even less likely to do so in ethnically diverse classrooms. In other words, children would rather lose a game, than mention ethnicity. Chetty (2014) argued that two books, *Elmer’s Special Day* and *Tusk Tusk*, both by David McKee, and both recommended by Philosophy4Children practitioners as starting points for philosophical enquiry into ethnic prejudice, multiculturalism and diversity, do not truly allow for an open discussion. Rather, in line with the above findings, he argues, ‘animal stories’ separate racism from its temporal and spatial context, limiting opportunities for engaging philosophically with the topic – and maybe even contributing, paradoxically, to the taboo. Research shows that European American mothers adopted ‘colormute’ and ‘colorblind’ approaches to socialization around inter-ethnic relations, as demonstrated by examining how they read story books to their children (Pahlke, Bigler, & Suizzo, 2012). This study, however, showed that such an approach is not related to low levels of ethnic bias among children even though their parents showed positive explicit ethnic attitudes.

An alternative philosophy to color-blindness is multiculturalism (for a discussion of both color blindness and multiculturalism, and their respective benefits, see Plaut, Thomas, & Goren, 2009). Multiculturalism acknowledges the differences between races, and in social identity terms,
is about acknowledging and celebrating group differences, because not to do so undermines the
cultural heritage of non-majority group individuals, and, is thus detrimental to the well-being of
ethnic minorities. However, in line with Pfeifer et al’s (2007) findings, multiculturalism doesn’t
enter the picture in *Tusk Tusk*. Group differences lead to hatred. The elephants are content to the
extent that they all see themselves as similar. There is celebration of difference in *Elmer’s Special Day*. But Elmer isn’t so much a culture, as an *individual*. And what of the historical
context of ethnic ingroup bias – of the need for refugees and immigrants to move countries?
Neither text addresses these issues. In light of the taboo around ethnic origin, which lies in heart
of the color-blind ideology, and the findings of previous review pieces, there is good reason, to
look further towards developmental-social psychological theory to inform educational
interventions aimed at reducing bias and prejudice towards immigrants.

**Contact Interventions**

One such line of theory that might helpfully be applied to reduce prejudice towards
immigrant youth in a school context is intergroup contact theory (Allport, 1954; Pettigrew &
Tropp, 2006. It is based on the idea that positive contact (meeting Allport’s optimal conditions of
co-operation, common goals, equal social status, and institutional support) between a member of
one’s own group and another group can improve intergroup attitudes. In this vein, Abbott and
Cameron (2014) tested the indirect effects of intergroup contact on adolescents’ bystander
intervention intentions in immigrant social exclusion scenarios, where adolescent participants
rated the extent to which they would behave assertively. The findings showed a significant
indirect effect of intergroup contact on assertive bystander intentions via empathy for the target,
cultural openness and in-group bias (but not via intergroup anxiety). However, such direct
contact is difficult to set up, and can be costly (Crisp & Turner, 2014), in the segregated societies
in which that many youth. Moreover, Wright et al. (1997) contend that attitude change does not
necessarily require a direct contact in another group; mere knowledge of ingroup members
having close relationships with outgroup members can result in more positive intergroup
attitudes. This is known as the *extended contact hypothesis*.

Studies among children, adolescents, and adults have now shown extended friendship to
be associated with more positive intergroup attitudes (e.g., Turner, Hewstone, Voci, & Vonofakou,
2008; Wright et al., 1997). Liebkind and McAlister (1999) conducted a field experiment on the
effect of extended contact among 1480 Finnish students (ages 13-15 years). In experimental
schools, printed stories of ingroup members’ close friendship with members of outgroups were
presented as examples of successful intergroup contact. Intergroup attitudes were measured
before and after the experimental intervention. In experimental schools, intergroup acceptance
improved, while attitudes worsened or stayed the same in the control schools. Relatedly,
Liebkind, Mähönen, Solares, Solheim, and Jasinskaja-Lahti, (2014) looked at relations between
non-immigrants and immigrants in culturally diverse schools. Both groups showed a tendency to
perceive future intergroup contact as more important.

Along similar lines, Cameron et al. (2006) read stories to British children about other
British children interacting positively with a refugee child. The results showed that attitudes
toward refugee children became more positive among children who received the intervention
compared to those in a control group. Additional evidence from Vezzali, Giovannini and Capozza
(2012) examined the effects of extended contact among Italian primary school children. Their
results revealed that extended contact (measured by the number of immigrant friends of
participants’ best ingroup friend) was associated with reduced implicit prejudice, but only among
those with fewer immigrant friends of their own. This finding is compatible with research in the
UK which also showed that an extended contact intervention could significantly reduce explicit biases towards an ethnic minority group in a non-diverse location but had little effect in a ethnically heterogeneous area (Cameron, Rutland, & Hossain, 2011). A longitudinal study of children from an ethnically diverse community in Germany, asked German and Turkish (living in Germany) children who were their best friends and how many friends of these best friends were German or Turkish, to measure direct and extended contact respectively (Feddes, Noack, & Rutland, 2009). They found that direct contact but not extended contact amongst German children predicted positive out-group ethnic attitudes. These studies conducted in three different European nations together show that direct contact can reduce biases against ethnic minority groups or immigrants, but when actual contact between different groups does not happen then extended contact is effective at changing children’s ethnic attitudes.

A relatively new sister to the extended contact approach is known as imagined contact. This is, simply “the mental simulation of a social interaction with a member or members of an outgroup category” (Crisp & Turner, 2009, p. 234), and can improve intergroup attitudes. According to Crisp and Turner (2009) the imagined-contact technique has several key strengths: it can be used where actual or extended contact is impractical, for example, in contexts of physical segregation. Unlike direct and extended contact, imagined contact does not require a child to live in a context where they have contact with outgroup members, or where outgroup members are known to anyone from the ingroup. Rather, it can be used in low-diversity contexts where intergroup bias is likely to form and go unchallenged (e.g., Rutland et al., 2005).

Research suggests that the effect of imagined contact on reduced intergroup biases may be driven by a drop in intergroup anxiety (Turner, Crisp, & Lambert, 2007). Intergroup anxiety is the negative emotional reaction that can occur at the prospect of intergroup contact. However,
after individuals have had a successful interaction with an outgroup member, their level of intergroup anxiety is likely to be reduced. Consistent with this reasoning, a number of studies in diverse intergroup settings with adults have found the positive effect of intergroup contact with immigrants on reducing prejudice to be mediated by intergroup anxiety (Turner, Hewstone, & Voci, 2007; Voci & Hewstone, 2003). Less, however, is known about the role of intergroup anxiety in indirect contact with immigrant youth. Future studies should examine if imagined contact can reduced anti-immigrant attitudes by hindering intergroup anxiety about immigrants.

Another factor that might underline the power of indirect contact is empathy. A correlational study (Vezzali, Hewstone, Capozza, Trifiletti, & Di Bernardo, 2016) investigated extended contact between Italian and immigrant primary school children. Results showed that extended contact was associated with improved intergroup empathy, which, in turn, was associated with more positive outgroup attitudes, stereotypes and behavioral intentions. As above, these effects were significant only among participants with a low or moderate level of direct contact. A field study (Vezzali, Giovanni & Capozza, 2010) of 68 Italian (majority) and 31 immigrant (minority) secondary school students also showed that intergroup anxiety and empathy mediated the longitudinal effects of quantity of contact for both Italians and immigrants.

Imagined contact has great potential as a prejudice-reduction technique for use in education as it can be used with a wide age range of children from diverse backgrounds and abilities. There are now studies demonstrating that imagined intergroup contact is an effective strategy for reducing prejudice among youth (e.g., Cameron, Rutland, Turner, Holman-Nicolas, & Powell, 2011; Stathi, Cameron, Hartley, & Bradford, 2014). Regarding immigrants, Vezzali, Capozza, Giovannini, et al. (2012) conducted a three-week experimental intervention asking Italian children to imagine a positive meeting with an unknown immigrant child in different
social situations. Results revealed that, compared to their counterparts in a control condition, children in the imagined intergroup contact condition had stronger intentions to meet immigrant children and less implicit prejudice towards them. In adolescents, Turner, West, and Christie (2013) showed British high school students aged 16–17 years a picture of a same-gendered asylum seeker who had recently arrived from Zimbabwe. They were asked to imagine having a positive interaction with this individual, before writing a detailed outline of the interaction they imagined. Compared to control participants, students who imagined contact reported a greater desire to befriend asylum seekers (e.g., get to know them). Together these studies suggest that imagined contact may be an effective strategy when trying to reduce anti-immigrant attitudes in youth.

To date one research study (Jones, Rutland & Rea, 2017) has examined the effectiveness of a form of imagined contact with immigrants in reducing anti-immigrant attitudes in young children. This type of imagined contact was specifically designed for young children to make imagining interaction with an immigrant easier, as the children were able to simulate their imagination using 3-D toys. This type of intervention was based upon the premise that imagined contact will be more effective, when it actively involves the child, as opposed to merely observing or hearing about intergroup interactions, for example through being passively read a book or shown a TV program. Developmental research suggests that children will pay more attention when key features of the world are perceptually salient (Braunerd & Reyna, 1990) and the social group membership of the individuals during an interaction are also made actively salient (Cameron, Rutland et al., 2006). In this study imagined contact was induced via pretend play – bringing the imagined contact into a 3-D realm, where children imagined interacting in a physical space. We found that British children aged between 5-9 years from ethnically and
culturally diverse areas, respond in increasingly negative ways towards different groups of immigrants to their school, but that these negative attitudes were moderated by imagined contact with an immigrant involving 3-D play. Interestingly, in the sample as a whole, children’s play reflected elements of both concrete reality (e.g., let’s play football) and fantasy play (e.g., let’s pretend we can fly), and the imagined contact intervention was equally effective when both forms of play were shown by children. This fits with extended contact research by Vezzali, Stathi, Giovannini, Capozza, and Trifiletti (2015), who showed that extended contact stories are effective even when the contact does not involve an ingroup member, or even “real” social groups (i.e., pretend or fantasy characters). In the study by Vezzali and colleagues Italian elementary school children read passages once a week for six weeks from fictional J. K. Rowling Harry Potter book series, presenting themes of prejudice followed by a group discussion. They found this fantasy focused extended contact intervention was effective in promoting positive attitudes towards immigrants. Given these findings, future research should seek to capitalize on this – to explore the power of children’s imaginative and fantasy play, and how this may be exploited to enhance the positive influence of imagined contact in anti-immigrant attitudes in youth.

As a note of caution, while the use of imagined contact interventions remains relatively new, especially in the context of immigration and youth, and some have expressed caution in how they are used (e.g., West & Greenland, 2016) so ensuring they don't backfire. West and Greenland (2016) conducted two studies with adults and showed that self-regulatory focus moderates the effectiveness of imagined contact interventions. Their findings suggest that a prevention self-focus when experiencing imagined contact can limit its effectiveness. A prevention self-focus involves a lot of attention on being social evaluated and concerns about
social rejection which can produce negative emotions, vigilance motivation, and ironically a higher attention to stereotypical information. Future research using imagined contact in youth to reduce anti-immigrant attitudes need to examine how to limit the inhibiting effect of a prevention self-focus when youth imagine contact with immigrants.

**Future Directions**

In parallel with our intentions, the furtherance of contact interventions to reduce prejudice towards immigrant youth are limited only by the researchers’ imaginations. However, a number of discrete avenues for future research have been brought to light in this review. Many of these studies consider only children belonging to the majority status group. Thus, it is of primary importance to test extended contact by considering both majority and minority children. In the case of minority children, a further consideration arises. In many instances, the number of immigrant children in a class is low, which reduces the opportunity for immigrant children to learn about a fellow ingroup member (i.e., another immigrant) who has majority status group member friends. However, immigrant children may well have majority status group friends, and indeed research in Italy has found that minority status members generally do have a higher number of cross-group friends compared with the majority status (Vezzali, Giovannini, & Capozza, 2010). If minority status (immigrant) children have friends in the majority status group, who themselves have immigrant friends, then we should also take into account this form of extended contact, because it provides children with knowledge of ingroup and outgroup members engaging in contact (and not just contact; also friendships).

Although the effects of intergroup contact have now been shown by numerous studies, many of them were either cross-sectional or experimental. An exception to this is Munniksma, Stark, Verkuyten, Flache, and Veenstra (2013), who studied social networks of Dutch and
immigrant high-school children by asking participants to nominate their five best friends in class. These social network data also allowed the researchers to identify the friends of a child’s friends by examining their nominations, (in other words; their extended contact). However, these data lacked the power needed to determine the main effect of extended contact. Future studies might then follow the model provided by Wölfer & Hewstone, (2014) which combines self-report with social network data, in order to look at the effects of imagined contact with immigrant youth.

Reliance on cross-sectional data means that little is known about the long-term relation between contact and prejudice. In this regard, the effect of imagined contact on anxiety and attitudes has thus far been demonstrated up to just three months later (e.g., Vezzali, Stathi, Giovannini, Capozza, & Visintin, 2015). A short-term longitudinal study of Van Laar et al. (2005) showed weak-to-non-significant effects of friendships in real life, suggesting that their effects might wear off over time. This might be particularly likely in middle and late adolescence when intergroup friendships are less stable (Aboud, Mendelson, & Purdy, 2003).

**Summary**

Today's youth live in increasingly ethnically and culturally diverse societies and attend schools where many more of the students will either be immigrants or of an immigrant background. Such diversity can have many benefits for the individual and society as a whole, yet in recent years in our increasingly globalized world in many countries we have seen the rise of anti-immigrant feelings. This has been evidenced among the youth and in the school context, as such feelings has meant many immigrants have experienced pervasive social exclusion and discrimination in school settings (British Youth Council, 2016; Brown & Lee, 2015; Gniewosz & Noack, 2015). Psychological research can play an important role in informing the design and conduct of educational interventions aimed at reducing prejudice towards immigrants, which
means they are often social excluded within schools resulting in poor psychological outcomes for individuals and a lack of social integration within societies.

In this article we have highlighted research showing anti-immigrant attitudes among the youth in various nations, and how these attitudes are related to parental and peer relationships. We have argued that educational interventions aimed at reducing prejudice need to consider the social context in which the youth live (i.e., their relationships with parent and peers) if they are to be successful. Social group memberships, such as immigrant or non-immigrant, are important in our increasingly diverse societies, and this is why we argue against a color-blind approach to prejudice reduction among the youth. Instead we suggest a multicultural approach to diversity may be more effective, as it celebrates both group differences and similarities while promoting social integration through quality contact between different social groups.

We have described psychological research which demonstrates that educational interventions based on intergroup contact between individuals from different groups can reduce prejudice towards immigrants among the youth. This contact can take many forms, ranging from direct contact (i.e., cross-ethnic friendships), to extended contact (i.e., reading a book in which someone from your groups has a positive interaction with someone from another group) and even imagined contact (i.e., engaging in imagined play involving characters from different groups having positive relations). There is still much research to be done to extend and evaluate effective educational interventions to reduce prejudice towards immigrants, yet the research to date suggests it is possible to challenge anti-immigrant attitudes when and where they develop in the youth.
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